WO

Case 2:98-cr-00425-PGR Document 181 Filed 07/14/08

FILED	LODGED
FILED PagentotiveD	COPY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

JUL 1 4 2008

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

CLERK US DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TR UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

			V.	ORDER OF DETENTION / ENDING		
		Keith	Hunking	Case Number: <u>CR 98-425-3-PHX-PGR</u>		
n acco		with the B	ail Reform Act, 18 U.S	.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts licable.)		
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant					
by a preponderance of the evi			nce of the evidence the	e defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant pending		
	trial in	this case	•	PART I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1)	There is	s probable cause to be	lieve that the defendant has committed		
_	()		an offence for which a	nmaximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ q, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.		
			an offense under 18 l	LS.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b).		
			an offense listed in 18	U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of ears or more is prescribed.		
			on offense involving a	minor victim prescribed in		
	(2)	The de		ted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.		
				Alternative Findings		
\boxtimes	(1)	the ani	bearance of the detend	e defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure lant as required.		
	(2)	No cor	ndition or combination	of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.		
	(3)	There a pros	pective witness or juro			
	(4)					
				TTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)		
	(1)		hat the credible testimo danger that:	ny and information submitted at the hearing establish by clear and convincing evidence		

¹Insert as applicable: Title 18, § 1201 (kidnaping), § 1591 (sex trafficking), § 2241 (aggravated sexual abuse), § 2242 (sexual abuse), § 2245 (offenses resulting in death), § 2251 (sexual exploitation of children), § 2251A (selling or buying of children), § 2252 et seq. (certain activities relating to material involving sexual exploitation of minors), § 2252A et seq. (certain activities relating to material constituting or containing child pornography), § 2260 (production of sexually explicit depictions of minors for importation into the U.S.), § 2421 (transportation for prostitution or a criminal sexual activity), § 2423 (transportation of minors with intent to engage in criminal sexual activity), § 2425 (use of interstate facilities to transmit information about a minor) about a minor).

	(2)	I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure(s) to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of
	The d	lefendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:
⊠	In add	dition: defendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated his conditions of supervised release.
4: 0	The	Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the earing in this matter.
time		PART III DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION
anne	rrections eal. The	defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court destates or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
		PART IV APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
Cal	ver a cop	S ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to py of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District suant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2005, Defendant shall have ten (10) days from the date of copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the t. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.
Ser	IT I	S FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial services an opportunity to interview and the potential third party custodian.
Da	ate:	7/14/2008 MICHELLE H. BURNS United States Magistrate Judge